

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/599,440	06/22/2000	Masato Shimada	Q59177	7701	
7590 10/21/2003			EXAMINER		
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC			TUGBANG, ANTHONY D		
2100 Pennsylva	nia Avenue NW				
Washington, De	C 20037-3213		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
_			3729		

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Š				111			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	VV.			
		09/599,440	SHIMADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		A. Dexter Tugbang	3729				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to treply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this commu	nication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	<u>August 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) The	nis action is non-final.					
3)□ Dispositio	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	•	· •	erits is			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 8-12 and 17-51 is/are pending in the	application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 8-12 and 17-51 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∭ approved b)∭ disa _l	oproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachment		. ,	<i>y.</i> 12 11				
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152				

Application/Control Number: 09/599,440

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. The applicants' amendment filed 8/5/03 (Paper No. 9) has necessitated the following action.
- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

Species A, directed to the feature of the oscillating plate film on one side of the substrate in Figures 4E and 7, Claims 8-12 and 40-47; and

Species B, directed to the feature of the oscillating plate film on an opposite side of the substrate in Figures 18B-18F.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 17-23 and 48-51 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/599,440

Art Unit: 3729

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Mr. Billy Carter Raulerson on October 20, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 703-308-7599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 7:00 am 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 703-308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/599,440

Art Unit: 3729

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

A. Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3729

October 20, 2003